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Small RNA profiling and characterization of piRNA clusters in the adult testes of the common marmoset, a model primate
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ABSTRACT

Small RNAs mediate gene silencing by binding Argonaute/Piwi proteins to regulate target RNAs. Here, we describe small RNA profiling of the adult testes of Callithrix jacchus, the common marmoset. The most abundant class of small RNAs in the adult testis was piRNAs, although 353 novel miRNAs but few endo-siRNAs were also identified. MARWI, a marmoset homolog of mouse MIWI and a very abundant PIWI in adult testes, associates with piRNAs that show characteristics of mouse pachytene piRNAs. As in other mammals, most marmoset piRNAs are derived from conserved clustered regions in the genome, which are annotated as intergenic regions. However, unlike in mice, marmoset piRNA clusters are also found on the X chromosome, suggesting escape from meiotic sex chromosome inactivation by the X-linked clusters. Some of the piRNA clusters identified contain antisense-oriented pseudogenes, suggesting the possibility that pseudogene-derived piRNAs may regulate parental functional protein-coding genes. More piRNAs map to transposable element (TE) subfamilies when they have copies in piRNA clusters. In addition, the strand bias observed for piRNAs mapped to each TE subfamily correlates with the polarity of copies inserted in clusters. These findings suggest that pachytene piRNA clusters determine the abundance and strand-bias of TE-derived piRNAs, and may even play roles in meiosis in the adult marmoset testis.
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INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic cells express a large number of small noncoding RNAs, 20–35 nucleotides (nt) in length, that are derived from various parts of the genome, including intergenic regions, transposable elements (TEs) and their remnants, and also from viruses (Girard and Hannon 2008; Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009; Siomi and Siomi 2009; Ketting 2011). These small RNAs associate with Argonaute proteins to form regulatory complexes known as RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs), which then pair with complementary RNA targets to promote the inactivation of homologous sequences. These pathways are referred to as RNA interference (RNAi), or RNA silencing, and help regulate protein levels and restrain the expression of parasitic and pathogenic invaders such as TEs and viruses (Bartel 2009; Saito and Siomi 2010). The RNAi pathway is present in a diverse range of eukaryotes, including some unicellular organisms, and is thought to have evolved as a form of nucleic acid-based immunity to inactivate TEs and viruses (Buchon and Vaury 2006).

According to their biogenesis and associated Argonaute proteins, small silencing RNAs are classified into three types: microRNAs (miRNAs), endogenous small interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs), and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) (Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009). MiRNAs are 21–24 nt RNAs that derive from distinctive hairpin precursors and repress target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) either by transcript destabilization, translational inhibition, or both, by base-pairing to the "seed" sequence, which is defined relative to the position of the miRNA 5′ end (Bartel 2009). Endo-siRNAs are 21–22 nt RNAs that derive from distinctive hairpin precursors and repress target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) either by transcript destabilization, translational inhibition, or both, by base-pairing to the "seed" sequence, which is defined relative to the position of the miRNA 5′ end (Bartel 2009). The strand bias observed for piRNAs mapped to each TE subfamily correlates with the polarity of copies inserted in clusters. These findings suggest that pachytene piRNA clusters determine the abundance and strand-bias of TE-derived piRNAs, and may even play roles in meiosis in the adult marmoset testis.
In contrast, piRNAs are longer, at 24–35 nt, and their sequences are more diverse than any other known class of cellular RNAs (Siomi et al. 2011; Pillai and Chuma 2012). piRNAs are produced by Dicer-independent mechanisms from long single-stranded precursors mainly derived from active TEs and intergenic unannotated large blocks known as piRNA clusters, after which they are loaded onto the PIWI subfamily of Argonautes (Thomson and Lin 2009; Ohtani et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2013; Le Thomas et al. 2013; Muerdter et al. 2013). piRNAs act as guides for the cleavage of TE transcripts by PIWI protein Slicer activity in the cytoplasm, which often couples with the ping-pong cycle, or for Slicer-independent heterochromatin formation at TE loci in the nucleus (Sienski et al. 2012; Dönertas et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2013; Le Thomas et al. 2013; Muerdter et al. 2013; Ohtani et al. 2013).

In mice, piRNAs are most abundantly expressed in male germ cells, especially during spermatogenesis, and are loaded onto the three PIWI proteins: MIWI (PIWIL1), MIWI2 (PIWIL2), and MIWI4 (PIWIL4) (Thomson and Lin 2009; Siomi et al. 2011; Pillai and Chuma 2012). Depletion of individual Piwi genes causes male-specific sterility because of severe defects in sperm formation, indicating their nonredundant functions of Piwi genes in the testes (Deng and Lin 2002; Kuramochi-Miyagawa et al. 2004; Carmell et al. 2007). Two distinct piRNA populations have been identified: the fetal pachytene and adult pachytene piRNA pools. Pachytene piRNAs are enriched in TE- and other repeat-derived sequences and associate with MIWI2 and MIWI proteins (Aravin et al. 2008; De Fazio et al. 2011), suggesting that they might play a role in silencing TEs. Moreover, as ping-pong signatures are detected between MIWI2 and MILI, this indicates that they are involved in amplification of pachytene piRNAs. In contrast, pachytene piRNAs have a higher proportion of intergenic, unannotated sequences, with a diminished contribution from TE-derived sequences (Aravin et al. 2006, 2007; Girard et al. 2006; Beyret et al. 2012). They are loaded onto MILI and MIWI from pachytene spermatocytes to elongating spermatids that are not further amplified. Although the loss of genes required to generate pachytene piRNAs blocks the production of mature sperm and results in TE deregulation (Deng and Lin 2002; Aravin and Hannon 2008; Reuter et al. 2011; Pillai and Chuma 2012; Vourekas et al. 2012), a biological role for pachytene piRNA clusters has yet to be identified. It also remains unknown if the absence of these RNAs causes the severe defects in spermatogenesis observed in mutant mice defective in the pachytene piRNA pathway.

Unlike rodents, primates possess four PIWI genes (PIWIL1–PIWIL4); thus, piRNA-mediated silencing may differ between rodents and primates (Sasaki et al. 2003; Ishizu et al. 2012). However, little is known about primate PIWI-piRNA pathways. Herein, in an effort to understand them, we analyze small RNAs in the adult testes of Callithrix jacchus, the common marmoset. Marmosets are small New World monkeys that have been extensively used in biomedical research and provide an attractive alternative to traditional non-human primate species. This is mainly because (1) they reach sexual maturity at 18 months of age, (2) they often bear twins after a relatively short gestation period, and (3) transgenic animals can now be produced (Sasaki et al. 2009; Orsi et al. 2011; Okano et al. 2012). To gain insights into the function of small RNAs in the marmoset testis, we used small RNA sequencing (small RNA-seq) to generate extensive small RNA data, in conjunction with RNA-seq data. We show that approximately 200 of nearly 700 miRNA genes identified are specific to marmosets. The most abundant class of small RNAs in the adult testis is, however, piRNAs. Using a specific monoclonal antibody that recognizes the PIWI protein MARWI (marmoset PIWIL1), a marmoset homolog of mouse MIWI, we generate MARWI-associated piRNA data and find that most piRNAs in adult testes are associated with MARWI, and they show characteristics of mouse pachytene piRNAs. Most MARWI-associated piRNAs derive from conserved intergenic clusters, some of which are located on the X chromosome. This suggests that they escape transcriptional silencing by meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI), which silences the X and Y chromosomes shortly after the zygote-to-pachytenne transition (Turner 2007; Heard and Turner 2011). Some clusters also contain pseudogenes of an antisense orientation, suggesting regulation of their cognate functional genes. Interestingly, piRNAs tend to map to TEs with copies in piRNA clusters much more than TEs with no copy in piRNA clusters, indicating that pachytene piRNA clusters act as traps that catch actively transposed TEs. Moreover, piRNAs corresponding to one subfamily are predominantly antisense to the TE, whereas piRNAs corresponding to another subfamily are predominantly sense. This is consistent with the sense/antisense bias of corresponding TE insertion to piRNA clusters, suggesting that the direction of TE insertion into the piRNA clusters is one of the major factors for setting the strong bias to the production of these piRNAs. Although a
biological role for mouse pachytene piRNA clusters remains unknown, our findings suggest that pachytene piRNA clusters function in both TE silencing and regulation of protein-coding gene expression and may even have functions in meiosis.

RESULTS

Small RNA profiling in the marmoset adult testes

We sequenced total small RNAs of ~18–35 nt from the testis of an adult marmoset (41 months old; note that marmosets are normally reproductively active from 2 to ~8 years of age) (Abbott et al. 2003) using Illumina MiSeq, resulting in a total of 18,832,688 reads. We mapped the reads to the marmoset genome, which yielded 13,818,718 perfectly matching reads, corresponding to miRNAs in size (Supplemental Fig. S1A), which is a known characteristic of animal miRNAs (Seitz et al. 2011). To annotate the genome-mapped small RNA reads, we referred to the UCSC Genome Browser (Meyer et al. 2013) and Ensembl database (Flicek et al. 2013) for the annotation of marmoset genomic regions. We found that 19.28% of small RNAs map to repetitive elements, 9.11% to cellular noncoding (nc) RNAs, including miRNAs (3.60%) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs) (4.65%), 1.68% to coding genes, and 69.96% to unannotated regions (Supplemental Fig. S1C).

miRNAs in the marmoset testis

To precisely analyze the first peak (21–23 nt) observed in marmoset total small RNAs (Supplemental Fig. S1A), the nucleotide bias was checked, and the first nucleotide at the 5′ end was shown to be biased to “U” and “A” (U, 63.2%; A, 24.4%) (Supplemental Fig. S2A), which is a known characteristic of animal miRNAs (Seitz et al. 2011). The 21–23 nt length reads were mapped to the genome and checked for annotation (Supplemental Fig. S2B), revealing that 43.7% match miRNA coding regions registered in the Ensembl database (Flicek et al. 2013). However, more than half were annotated to other regions, such as TEs, repeats, and unannotated intergenic regions, indicating that they may also be capable of producing miRNAs. To clarify this, we identified miRNAs with miRDeep2 pipeline (Friedländer et al. 2012), using total small RNA-seq reads ranging in length from 21–23 nt as input. This search assigned 88.8% of 21–23 nt small RNA reads to 685 miRNAs, of which 332 were identical to miRNAs registered in the Ensembl database and 353 miRNAs were novel (Supplemental Table S2). Of the novel miRNAs, 174 had seed sequences in common with other primate miRNA species. The genomic regions from where these miRNAs originate varied, and some were expressed from protein coding regions (Supplemental Fig. S2C).

A primate-specific X-linked miRNA cluster was of particular interest (Supplemental Fig. S2D; Zhang et al. 2007; Li et al. 2010; Meunier et al. 2013). This cluster is comprised of tandem repeats of the Medium Reiteration frequency (MER) TE, which folds into a palindromic structure similar to the hairpin of the miRNA precursor and appears expressed almost exclusively in the testis (Yuan et al. 2010; Ahn et al. 2013; Meunier et al. 2013). During mammalian male germline development, the X and Y chromosomes are compartmentalized into a perinuclear subdomain called the sex body and become transcriptionally silenced from early pachytene onward, through to the end of spermatogenesis. This process is known as MSCI. X-linked miRNA cluster appears to be expressed during pachytene, suggesting escape from MSCI (Song et al. 2009; Meunier et al. 2013). The expression of two miRNAs from this cluster (miR-MER91C-5p and -21-3p) was confirmed by northern blotting (Supplemental Fig. S2E). Consistent with the recent studies that have revealed rapid evolution of this cluster in primates, including frequent tandem duplications and nucleotide substitutions (Zhang et al. 2007; Meunier et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013), only two of the 23 seed sequences of the X-linked cluster-encoded miRNAs were conserved between marmoset and human, indicating the rapid divergence of this miRNA cluster (Supplemental Tables S3, S4). These findings also suggest that this cluster of miRNAs has a testis-specific function and that a form of genetic conflict may be driving its rapid evolution in primates.

Lack of endo-siRNA in the marmoset testis

To identify endo-siRNAs, we used 21–23-nt long total small RNA-seq reads that mapped to genomic regions other than the location of miRNA genes (476,689 reads). We searched for complementary small RNAs with 2-nt 3′ overhangs corresponding to products excised from long double-stranded precursors by Dicer in endo-siRNA biogenesis, and we also manually searched for genomic regions that can potentially give rise to phased endo-siRNAs by folding into long stem-loop secondary structures (Okamura and Lai 2008). However, we were unable to detect endo-siRNA-like small RNAs with significant reads (data not shown; also see Supplemental Material). This suggests that adult marmoset testes express very few endo-siRNAs, although recent studies have shown that murine adult spermatogenic cells express abundant endo-siRNAs (Song et al. 2011).

Abundant expression of MARWI, a homolog of mouse MIWI, in the marmoset adult testis

As many marmoset small RNAs are derived from unannotated intergenic regions (Supplemental Fig. S1C), which is
reminiscent of mouse MIWI- or MILI-associated piRNAs that start to be expressed at the pachytene stage of meiosis (pachytene piRNAs) (Li et al. 2013), we analyzed the expression levels of AGO/PIWI genes using RNA-seq data to determine if marmoset homologs of mouse Miwi and/or Mili are expressed in adult testes. Computational searches of the marmoset genome (UCSC Genome Browser and Ensembl database) revealed eight Argonaute genes: four AGO subfamily genes (AGO1–AGO4) and four PIWI subfamily genes (PIWIL1–4). We named the marmoset PIWI subfamily genes MARWI, MARLI, MARWI2, and MARWI3, which correspond to human HIWI (PIWIL1), HILI (PIWIL2), HIWI2 (PIWIL4), and HIWI3 (PIWIL3) (Sasaki et al. 2003), respectively. We performed directional RNA-seq using total RNA obtained from two adult marmoset testes (41 and 51 months old). Replicates were highly correlated with each other ($R^2 = 0.50$) (Supplemental Fig. S3A); therefore, we merged the reads, resulting in 168,398,076 RNA-seq reads (Supplemental Table S1). Reads were used to calculate the RPKM value for each gene of the Argonaute family. RPKM values indicated that expression levels of MARWI, a marmoset homolog of mouse Miwi, were vastly overrepresented among the gene family in this tissue (Supplemental Fig. S3B), suggesting that most observed piRNA-like reads bind to MARWI. Expression levels of the AGO subfamily in the testis are relatively low, which correlates with the fact that endo-siRNAs were barely detectable in the small RNA library. MARWI3, which does not have a mouse homolog, does not appear to be expressed in the adult testis (Supplemental Fig. S3B).

**Comparison of piRNAs in total testis small RNAs with MARWI-associated piRNAs**

To test whether MARWI binds to the majority of piRNAs in adult testes, we immunopurified MARWI from adult testes using monoclonal antibodies we generated (Fig. 1A;B; Supplemental Fig. S4), in which it is expressed from spermatocytes to elongating spermatids (see below) and examined its associated RNAs (Fig. 1C). piRNAs associated with MARWI (MARWI piRNAs) in testes were ~30 nt in length (Fig. 1C, D). piRNAs in fly and mouse are known to be modified at the 3' terminal nucleotide with a 2'-O-methyl marker (Kirino and Mourelatos 2007; Ohara et al. 2007; Saito et al. 2007; Simon et al. 2011; Siomi et al. 2011). The resistance of MARWI piRNAs to periodate oxidation (NaIO$_4$) and β-elimination reactions (Supplemental Fig. S4B) show that they also have the same 3' modification.

To further characterize MARWI piRNAs, we performed Illumina HiSeq sequencing using piRNA samples immunopurified with an anti-MARWI antibody from two individual adult marmoset testes (41 and 51 months old). Replicates were highly correlated with each other ($R^2 = 0.89$) (Supplemental Fig. S5); therefore, we merged the reads, resulting in 140,662,017 marmoset MARWI-IP piRNA reads in total.
(Supplemental Table S1). Expression of highly detected piRNA reads was confirmed by northern blotting (Supplemental Fig. S4C). As in the case of total small RNA reads (using the tests from the 41-month-old marmoset), the length of sequenced reads ranged from 24–33 nt with peaks at 29 and 30 nt (Fig. 1D) and possessed a uracil nucleotide bias at the 5′ end (1U-bias) (Fig. 1E). Annotation of these MARWI piRNA reads was similar to that of the 24–33 nt total small RNA reads (Fig. 1F): Most (∼74.0%) mapped to unannotated intergenic regions and only ∼18.1% of the reads originated from TEs. This profile is similar to that of pachytene piRNAs. Surprisingly, MARWI piRNAs mapped to the 5′ end of only five tRNA species (tRNA-GluUUC, tRNA-GluCUC, tRNA-AspGUC, tRNA-GlyGCC, and tRNA-ValAAC) account for 99.6% of piRNA reads mapped to tRNAs (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table S5). The vast majority of these tRNA-derived piRNAs mapped to tRNAs for Glu. Moreover, the tRNAs with the most abundant piRNA species mapped, tRNA-GluCUC, had ∼1.9 million reads mapped to its 5′ end, corresponding to 1.4% of the total number of MARWI-associated piRNA reads (Fig. 2B). Northern blots of MARWI piRNAs revealed that the 5′, but not the 3′, fragments of the tRNA are loaded onto MARWI (Fig. 2C). We further confirmed that the 5′ fragments of tRNA-AspGUC are also detected by northern blotting analysis but not the 5′ fragments of tRNAs, which hardly match MARWI piRNA reads (Supplemental Fig. S6). These findings together suggest that these tRNAs are specifically processed into MARWI-bound piRNAs. Recent studies have revealed that some tRNA-derived small RNAs associate with AGO/PIWI proteins and function as classical siRNAs or miRNAs, whereas others also inhibit translation in a complementary base-
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pairing-independent manner or modify functions of associated AGO/PIWI proteins (Sobala and Hutvagner 2011).

Some mRNAs in flies and vertebrates are known to be processed into piRNAs (Robine et al. 2009; Saito et al. 2009; Sionmi et al. 2011). We identified 73 mRNAs that map more than 1000 MARWI piRNAs to their 3' UTRs (Supplemental Fig. S7; Supplemental Table S6). Marmoset genic piRNAs were also found to originate from irregular positions in host 3' UTRs forming pronounced peaks (or hot spots) and gaps of piRNA density as seen in flies and mice (Supplemental Fig. S7A). Similarly, some transcripts (seven of 73) exhibited piRNA enrichment in both the 3' UTR and protein coding sequence (Supplemental Fig. S7B; Supplemental Table S6; Robine et al. 2009). We analyzed the expression levels of these piRNA-generating mRNAs using RNA-seq data and found that their median RPKM value (5.0) was only slightly higher than that of total mRNAs (1.7), which is consistent with previous findings showing that mouse genic piRNA-generating transcripts are only slightly skewed toward highly expressed genes, and house-keeping genes are underrepresented (Robine et al. 2009). These observations suggest a selective mechanism of genic piRNA production, although the mechanism that selects genic piRNA precursors from all cellular RNAs and directs them for piRNA processing remains to be elucidated.

piRNA clusters in the marmoset genome

Genomic mapping of piRNAs in flies, zebra fish, mice, and humans has shown that multiple piRNAs map within discrete genomic intervals, forming piRNA clusters that can exceed 100 kb (Aravin et al. 2006; Girard et al. 2006; Brennecke et al. 2007; Houwing et al. 2007). This pronounced clustering also suggests that multiple piRNAs are processed from long primary transcripts. To determine if this occurs in marmosets, we mapped MARWI piRNA reads and found a total of 199 piRNA clusters with an average size of ~17 kb and the largest at 132 kb (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Table S7; for details to define piRNA clusters, see Supplemental Material). This analysis also indicated that most MARWI piRNAs (83.4% of piRNAs mapped to the genome) are produced in a clustered fashion, although piRNA clusters constitute just 0.1%
of the marmoset genome. Both unidirectional clusters, in which piRNAs map to only one strand, and bidirectional clusters, in which the polarity of piRNA production switches between plus and minus strands, were found in the marmoset genome as seen in other species. Analysis of directional RNA-seq data showed that the distribution of RNA-seq reads occurs in regions where piRNA clusters are located, indicating that clustered MARWI piRNAs are likely to be derived from the same single-stranded precursor transcripts.

Although the primary sequences of piRNA clusters are not conserved, their genomic location is highly conserved from rodents to humans (Aravin et al. 2006, 2008; Girard et al. 2006; Beyret et al. 2012). Indeed, only a small fraction of MARWI piRNAs could be mapped to the genomes of human and mouse (7.3% were mapped to the human genome with perfect matches and 4.5% to the mouse genome). To examine if the genomic locations of marmoset piRNA clusters are conserved, we searched for MARWI piRNA syntenic loci in humans and mice, using a previously published data set (Girard et al. 2006). Chromosomal positions of most previously detected piRNA clusters from humans and mice were conserved in the marmoset (Fig. 3B). We also detected a large number of clusters that were likely to be conserved only between marmosets and humans, indicating the existence of primate-specific piRNA clusters. However, we also observed that several clusters are conserved between humans and mice but apparently lost in marmosets, and several others were conserved between marmosets and mice but apparently lost in humans.

Interestingly, we identified three piRNA clusters on X chromosome (Fig. 3A,C). From pachytene spermatocyte onward, X and Y chromosome-linked genes are transcriptionally silenced owing to the MSCI (Turner 2007; Heard and Turner 2011). MIWI, the MARWI ortholog in mice, expresses from pachytene spermatocyte to elongating spermatids during spermatogenesis (Deng and Lin 2002; Di Giacomo et al. 2013). To determine MARWI expression in detail during spermatogenesis, coimmunostaining with meiotic markers γH2AX and γH2AX was performed. During leptotene to zygotene spermatocyte, punctate staining of γH2AX is seen throughout the nucleus. In contrast, at pachytene spermatocyte, γH2AX becomes restricted to the sex body (Mahadeviah et al. 2001; Fernandez-Capetillo et al. 2003; Di Giacomo et al. 2013). MARWI protein signal is not detected in the early spermatocyte but is observed in the cytoplasm from the pachytene onward, which is similar to ortholog MIWI (Fig. 3D; Supplemental Fig. S8; Di Giacomo et al. 2013). Thus, MARWI and MARWI-associated piRNAs express from pachytene onward, suggesting that the X-linked clusters are transcribed during meiosis in spite of MSCI.

**New classes of piRNA clusters**

Neither the function of piRNA clusters nor the functional implication of such extensive synteny in mammals are currently understood, so we further characterized the marmoset piRNA clusters identified in the present study. We found two new classes of piRNA clusters: clusters consisting of two segments in which the polarity of piRNA and mRNA production switches between the plus and minus strands (Fig. 4A) and clusters with pseudogenes (Fig. 4B). piRNA mapping, together with directional RNA-seq data, revealed that piRNAs mapped to only one strand but not to the mRNAs (Fig. 4A). In the former class, one of these strands encodes the gene named WD-repeat protein 1 gene (WDRI), also called actin-interacting protein1 (AIP1), which encodes a protein promoting coflin-mediated actin filament disassembly (Fujibuchi et al. 2005), thereby playing a likely role in round spermatid formation. Budding uninhibited by benzimidazole 1 (BUB1), which encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase that activates spindle check point assembly and is highly expressed in testes, also forms a bidirectional cluster-like transcript (Fig. 4A). Importantly, this gene is essential for spermatogenesis, because the testes of deficient mice showed impaired normal chromosome segregation (Perera et al. 2007). A large number of piRNA clusters in mice appear to be transcribed bidirectionally by the MYB family of transcriptional factors (Li et al. 2013). This suggests that a single transcriptional factor may transcribe both piRNA clusters and protein-coding genes bidirectionally from a single promoter in a coordinated manner.

**piRNA clusters with pseudogenes**

The second class of clusters contains processed pseudogenes. We found five such piRNA clusters, of which four contain pseudogenes with an antisense orientation relative to the polarity of host clusters (Fig. 4B). piRNAs derived from these pseudogenes are therefore antisense-oriented relative to their parental genes, suggesting that they regulate expression of their parental functional genes. The parental genes of these four pseudogenes in this class of cluster include TROPOMYOSIN-1 (TMP1, also called α-TROPOMYOSIN) encoding a coiled-coil protein that regulates actin filament function (Lange et al. 2007), CACYBP (also called SIP) encoding a S100A6- and Siah-1 binding protein with unknown function (Wasik and Filipke 2013), ANO6 (also called Tmem16F) encoding a protein that forms a Ca2+-activated cation channel required for phospholipid scrambling and linked to Scott syndrome, a rare bleeding disorder caused by a defect in phospholipid scrambling activity (Kunzelmann et al. 2014), and SSBP3 (also called SSDP1) encoding a nuclear protein that binds single-stranded pyrimidine-rich sequences and is involved in transcription (Bayarasaihan et al. 1998). An in vitro cleavage assay confirmed that MARWI-piRNA complexes were capable of specifically cleaving target RNAs containing sequences that are perfectly complementary to piRNAs (Fig. 4C). We also confirmed that MARWI was a cytoplasmic protein (Fig. 3D; Supplemental
These findings together indicate that pseudogene-derived piRNAs play important roles in the regulation of protein-coding gene expression in the cytoplasm, and also suggest a model in which pachytene piRNA clusters regulate protein-coding genes through the processed pseudogenes trapped within.

**Strong strand bias of piRNAs derived from each TE subfamily**

To further understand TE-derived piRNAs, we first examined the read frequency and strand bias of piRNAs that mapped to each of the TE subfamilies. We aligned MARWI piRNA sequences to a comprehensive set of marmoset TE...
sequences obtained from RepeatMasker (UCSC Genome Browser track) (Smit et al. 2010), which includes each of the TE sequences originating from different genomic positions. Reads aligned to multiple sequences were normalized by the number of aligned sequences. Vertebrate TEs can be assigned to one of four broad classes according to their mechanism of transposition: long interspersed elements (LINEs), short interspersed elements (SINEs), long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons, and DNA transposons (Mandal and Kazazian 2008); they are further classified into distinct subfamilies according to the degree of deviation from family consensus sequences (Smit et al. 2010; Sookdeo et al. 2013). The MARWI piRNA read counts for each TE sequence were obtained from RepeatMasker (UCSC Genome Browser track) (Smit et al. 2010; Gunawardane et al. 2007). Thus, we analyzed the correlation between genomic copy numbers of TEs and copy numbers of the same class TEs in piRNA clusters (Supplemental Fig. S10B) and found that up to 33.7% of the regions were occupied by TEs, suggesting that piRNA clusters serve as a major source of TE-derived piRNAs.

To test this hypothesis, we searched for TEs potentially targeted by MARWI-piRNA complexes (Supplemental Fig. S9B). Recent studies have revealed that although mismatches at several 3′-terminal nucleotides of piRNAs are tolerated, base-pairing of nucleotides 2–21 is required for efficient target cleavage by MIWI proteins (Reuter et al. 2011). We therefore searched for TE partners with perfect matches at the first 2–21 nt (see Supplemental Material) and found that 9.4% of TE-derived MARWI piRNAs have potential target TEs other than those from the same subfamily. This also implies that MARWI-piRNA complexes, with Slicer activity (Fig. 4C), are capable of regulating TEs in trans by cleaving them. These findings suggest a model in which the mutual cleavage of TE transcripts originating from each subfamily member sets a threshold of expression for the entire TE family.

**piRNA clusters as the major source of TE-derived piRNAs**

We found that the amount of piRNA reads produced per TE was higher for some members of LINEs and SINEs compared with LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S10A; Supplemental Table S8). As this might be caused by the higher activity of recently moved and therefore relatively younger LINEs and SINEs, we checked this for each LINE and SINE with higher piRNA production (Fig. 5A). However, both the evolutionarily younger members (that is, still actively transposing; e.g., L1PA, L1PB, AluS) and older and ancient members (that is, transposition-incompetent; e.g., L2, L1ME, MIR) (Giordano et al. 2007) were equally identified as the source of higher piRNA production. Therefore, we concluded that the amount of piRNAs produced per TE does not rely on recent TE activity.

We next checked for the correlation between genomic copy numbers of TEs and mapped piRNA reads per TEs (Fig. 5B) and observed a positive correlation for LINEs and SINEs. This suggests that the more copies in the genome, the more piRNAs they generate. On the other hand, the correlation was relatively low for LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons (see below). In addition, we examined the annotation of MARWI piRNA cluster regions and found that up to 33.7% of the regions were occupied by TEs, suggesting that piRNA clusters serve as a major source for TE-derived piRNAs. This is similar to the degree of TE occupancy in mouse pachytene piRNA clusters, although mouse fetal pre-pachytene clusters are full of TEs (Lakshmi and Agrawal 2008). Thus, we analyzed the correlation between genomic copy numbers of TEs and copy numbers of the same class of TEs in piRNA clusters (Supplemental Fig. S10B) and found that they were highly correlated, especially for LINEs and SINEs. This result together with the observation that piRNA production from TEs is dependent on their genomic...

**Trans-targeting by TE-derived piRNAs**

Because these piRNAs are not amplified by the ping-pong cycle and the production of piRNAs was biased to particular TE subfamilies (Fig. 5A), we hypothesized that these piRNAs may not only be capable of regulating TEs within the same subfamily but also other subfamily members by mediating the cleavage of transcripts from corresponding opposite strands.
Transposable element insertion to piRNA clusters gives rise to the source of piRNA production. (A) Heat maps show strand bias of transposon-derived MARWI-piRNAs for each TE subfamily (left) and the direction of each TE subfamily insertion into piRNA clusters (right). Transposons are grouped into LINEs, SINEs, LTRs, and DNA transposons. Color intensities indicate the degree of strand bias: (blue) sense; (yellow) antisense; (gray) unbiased. The frequencies of piRNAs mapped to each TE subfamily over the total TE-mapped piRNAs are shown in the bar graph. (B) Dot plot shows the relationship between genomic copy number and mapped piRNA reads for LINE, SINE, LTR, and DNA transposons. (C) Table showing average number of piRNA reads obtained from each group of transposon subfamilies. The values are averaged over transposon subfamilies with or without insertions into piRNA clusters. (D) Schematic of marmoset piRNA clusters working as “TE-traps.”
copy number, suggest that the number of elements transposed to piRNA clusters might determine the piRNA production from each TE.

In the case of LTR retrotransposons, some of the members with lower copy numbers are capable of producing higher amounts of piRNAs (Fig. 5B). We surmised that this might be caused by the genomic position at which LTR retrotransposons have integrated. To test this, we normalized the amounts of piRNAs by the total length of each TE and compared them to the ratio of the TE insertion to piRNA clusters (Supplemental Fig. S10C). Interestingly, we found that LTR retrotransposons can efficiently produce piRNAs compared to the other TE family members. Additionally, although the ratio of LINES and SINES integrated into piRNA clusters was similar to the ratio of piRNA clusters within the genome (~0.1%), LTR retrotransposons were enriched in piRNA clusters. This suggests that LTR retrotransposons might have preferentially integrated into piRNA clusters.

To ask if the TE copy numbers transposed into piRNA clusters are correlated with levels of piRNA production, we analyzed the amounts of piRNAs derived from groups of TE subfamilies with or without copies in piRNA clusters (Fig. 5C; Supplemental Fig. S10D). We divided TEs into two groups: one with at least one copy of elements integrated in a piRNA cluster and the other with no such copies. When the production of piRNAs was compared, the former group was shown to produce many more piRNAs. We therefore hypothesize that actively transposed TEs are more likely to jump into piRNA clusters, and therefore start to produce more piRNAs. Moreover, when we checked the direction of TE insertion into each of the piRNA clusters, we found that the strand bias was similar to that of highly biased piRNAs ($R^2 = 0.48$) (Fig. 5A). Therefore, the direction of TE insertion may be one of the main factors that set the strong sense/antisense bias in piRNA production (Supplemental Fig. S10E). These findings also suggest a model in which TEs that happen to jump into piRNA clusters in the opposite direction regulate other subfamily members expressed from different genomic positions (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Fig. S10F). Although the function of mouse pachytene piRNA clusters is unknown, our findings also suggest that they act as TE-traps, as seen in fly piRNA clusters (Malone and Hannon 2009; Karginov and Hannon 2010).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we describe the small RNA profile from the adult testes of the common marmoset, a small New World primate, which has been attracting much attention in the research field of biomedical sciences as a model primate species (Sasaki et al. 2009; Orsi et al. 2011; Okano et al. 2012). Our small RNA profiling confirmed the importance of using the common marmoset to analyze primates. Our main findings were (1) marmoset-specific miRNAs, including a primate-specific X-linked cluster; (2) piRNAs may mediate in trans regulation among TE subfamilies; (3) TE-derived piRNAs biased to either sense or antisense strands of each element; (4) abundantly expressed tRNA-derived piRNA species; and (5) piRNA clusters on the X chromosome, suggesting that these clusters are able to evade MSCI, which can be informative for studies of the primate germ line and spermatogenesis. We also revealed some interesting findings that may be common to species other than primates. These include (1) MARWI piRNAs as the most abundant class of small RNAs in the marmoset adult testis, which show characteristics of mouse pachytene piRNAs with unknown functions; (2) bidirectionally transcribed coding genes and piRNA clusters; (3) some pseudogenes integrated into piRNA clusters that may regulate cognate functional genes; and (4) piRNA clusters, mostly localized to intergenic regions, serving as “traps” for TEs (see below).

**piRNAs in the adult testis of the common marmoset**

Marmosets encode four AGO and four PIWI subfamily members of Argonautes, of which the expression of MARWI is overrepresented in adult testes (Supplemental Fig. S3B). Consistent with this, most piRNAs associate with MARWI (Fig. 1D–F; Supplemental Fig. S1A). Moreover, the predominant class of small RNAs expressed in the marmoset adult testis is likely to be MARWI piRNAs. These have characteristics of mouse pachytene piRNAs that associate with MIWI (Aravin et al. 2006; Girard et al. 2006; Reuter et al. 2011; Beyret et al. 2012), including a higher proportion of intergenic, unannotated sequences (74.0%) with a diminished contribution of TE sequences (18.1%) and very few piRNAs with ping-pong signatures. In addition, mouse piRNA clusters constitute just 0.3% of the genome yet account for 95% of piRNAs in the adult mouse testis (Li et al. 2013). Similarly, marmoset piRNA clusters constitute only 0.1% of the genome yet account for 83.4% of MARWI piRNAs.

We found that within the same TE family (for example, L1), some subfamily members generate larger amounts of piRNAs than others (Fig. 5A). These subfamily-derived piRNAs, regardless of their strand biases, establish an expression threshold for TE families by cleaving homologous TE transcripts. Recently, MIWI was shown to be necessary to maintain the Slicer-dependent silencing of the L1 retrotransposon in the mouse testis after birth (Reuter et al. 2011). This indicates that MIWI Slicer activity directly cleaves TE mRNAs, thereby silencing TE without piRNA amplification. Two-thirds of TE-derived piRNAs in pachytene piRNAs that associate with MIWI derive from the sense strand of TEs, suggesting that the antisense piRNA dosage required for silencing of the L1 is relatively low, probably because of the catalytic nature of Slicer. These findings also suggest that the predominant generation of antisense piRNAs from some TEs observed in the adult marmoset testis contribute to silencing of the other family members in that organ.
piRNA clusters in adult marmoset testes stipulate characteristics of pachytene piRNAs

We found that the TE group with copies in piRNA clusters produces larger amounts of piRNAs (Fig. 5C; Supplemental Fig. S10D). This suggests a model in which TEs that happen to jump into piRNA clusters regulate other elements in the same TEs expressed from different genomic positions (Fig. 5D). As originally proposed by Bergman and colleagues and later elaborated by Hannon and colleagues following Drosophila analysis, piRNA clusters act as “TE traps” that rely on the innate mobility of TEs to passively acquire new content by chance transposition (Bergman et al. 2006; Malone and Hannon 2009; Karginov and Hannon 2010; Sabin et al. 2013). TEs that have jumped into the clusters can then become fixed by evolutionary selection and become part of a silencing program that instructs a small RNA-based immunity to selectively silence homologous elements in germ cells, thereby providing an adaptive immunity to the host genome (Khurana et al. 2011). Although the function of mouse pachytene piRNA clusters is unknown, our findings suggest that pachytene piRNA clusters can also capture and efficiently regulate TEs that express in meiotic or post-meiotic cells during spermatogenesis. Indeed, 33.7% of MARWI piRNA clusters are composed of TEs. Moreover, from the observation that pseudogenes are found in piRNA clusters (Fig. 4B), we hypothesize that piRNA clusters trap not only TEs but also other movable genetic elements. Thus, piRNA clusters may enable the genome to recognize self and non-self and regulate expression of deleterious transposable genetic elements by determining the quality and quantity of piRNAs.

On the other hand, we found that LTR retrotransposons were enriched in piRNA clusters regardless of their abundance in the genome (Fig. 5B; Supplemental Fig. S10C). The reason for this remains unclear, but one hypothesis is that there is a specific preference of the region into which LTR retrotransposons tend to integrate. A previous study indicated that LTR retrotransposons are enriched in open chromatin sites (Jacques et al. 2013), which may include highly transcribed piRNA cluster transcripts. An alternative explanation would be that LTR retrotransposons might have played the role in generation of piRNA clusters. In fact, it has been reported that LTR retrotransposons contribute as a source of long ncRNAs (Kapusta et al. 2013). Further analysis would be necessary to reveal this point.

piRNA clusters as possible regulators for protein-coding genes

Marmoset piRNA clusters harbor not only TEs but also pseudogenes, which tend to be antisense-oriented relative to the polarity of host clusters (Fig. 4B). Thus, these clusters generate antisense piRNAs relative to the pseudogenes and their parental genes. Historically, pseudogenes have been regarded as nonfunctional evolutionary relics (Balakirev and Ayala 2003). Recent evidence indicates that endo-siRNAs arising from pseudogenes in mouse oocytes can adjust the level of the founding source mRNA by mediating mRNA cleavage (Tam et al. 2008; Watanabe et al. 2008). By analogy, piRNAs originating from processed pseudogenes may also regulate the expression of their parental genes post-transcriptionally by cleaving mRNAs in the marmoset adult testis. Processed pseudogenes are generated by the reverse transcription of mRNA transcripts followed by integration of the cDNAs into the genome. It is tempting to speculate that just like TEs, random trapping of the cDNAs into piRNA clusters can then become fixed by evolutionary selection to selectively regulate homologous elements in germ cells. In addition, none of the pseudogenes we identified in marmoset piRNA clusters are found in known mouse piRNA clusters, suggesting that pseudogene-derived piRNAs may have species-specific functions in the testis.

Taken together, the piRNAs and the set of piRNA clusters in marmoset testes defined by our work provide an important insight into the evolutionary origins and functions of pachytene piRNAs. Future work should analyze small RNAs associated with each of the eight marmoset Argonautes and corresponding human proteins in gonads to determine whether primate-specific mechanisms are deployed to regulate gene expression during germ cell development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and tissue collection

This study was approved by the animal ethics committee of the Central Institute for Experimental Animals (CIEA) and Keio University, and it was performed in accordance with CIEA and Keio University guidelines. Mice were obtained from CREA Japan, Inc., and Japan SLC, Inc. Information on individual marmosets is described in Supplemental Table S9.

Generation of anti-MARWI/PIWIL1 monoclonal antibodies

Anti-MARWI monoclonal antibodies were produced essentially as described previously (Ishizuka et al. 2002; Nishida et al. 2007). Briefly, monoclonal mouse antibodies against MARWI were generated by injecting mice with GST-MARWI-N (1-204 amino acids) and then fusing lymph node and spleen cells with the myeloma cell line P3U1 to produce hybridomas. Note that the anti-MARWI antibodies produced also recognize mouse MIWI/PIWIL1 protein (Supplemental Fig. S11).

Small RNA-seq and data processing

To prepare total small RNA libraries, total RNAs from the marmoset testes were extracted using the miRNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, 217004), and gel-purified small RNAs were cloned using the NEBNext Small RNA Library Sample Prep Set (NEB, E7330) with
slight modifications. Detailed protocols are described in Supplemental Material. Total small RNA reads were sequenced using MiSeq (illumina), obtaining 18,832,666 reads in total.

To identify MARWI associated piRNAs, coimmunoprecipitated RNA was extracted from MARWI immunoprecipitates with phenol and chloroform, and gel-purified MARWI-associated piRNAs were cloned. MARWI-associated piRNA libraries were analyzed by HiSeq2000 (Illumina) using two replicates from different samples. Replicates were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.89$), so the reads were merged giving a total of 140,662,017.

Adapter sequences were removed from obtained reads and mapped to the marmoset reference genome (callac3, WUSTL 3.2) using Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009), allowing zero mismatches. Annotation of the reads was determined according to UCSC (Meyer et al. 2013) and Ensembl databases (Flicek et al. 2013). Details of further sequence analysis can be found in Supplemental Material.

**DATA DEPOSITION**

Total small RNA sequences, MARWI-associated small RNA sequences, and total RNA sequences data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession no. GSE52927.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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